

These slides are intended to help a teacher develop a presentation. This powerpoint covers the entire chapter and includes too many slides for a single delivery. Professors are encouraged to adapt this presentation in ways which are best suited for their students and environment.



Overview of points covered in this chapter

Point out that memory partitioning isn't used much except for special cases such as kernel memory management



Introduce by pointing out that in a uniprogramming system, main memory is divided into two parts:

- •one part for the operating system (resident monitor, kernel) and
- one part for the program currently being executed.

In a multiprogramming system, the "user" part of memory must be further subdivided to accommodate multiple processes.

Emphasise that memory management is vital in a multiprogramming system. If only a few processes are in memory, then for much of the time all of the processes will be waiting

for I/O and the processor will be idle.

Thus memory needs to be allocated to ensure a reasonable supply of ready processes to consume available processor time.





The following slides expand on these topics.



	Memory Management Terms					
Table 7.1 Memory Management Terms						
	Term	Description				
	Frame	<i>Fixed</i> -length block of main memory.				
	Page	<i>Fixed</i> -length block of data in secondary memory (e.g. on disk).				
	Segment	Variable-length block of data that resides in secondary memory.				
A.						



This figure depicts a process image. Talk the students through this diagram

Assume that the process image occupies a contiguous region of main memory.

The OS needs to know the location of:

- process control information
- the execution stack,
- the entry point to begin execution of the program for this process.

Because the operating system knows this information because it is managing memory and is responsible for bringing this process into main memory. However, the processor must deal with memory references within the program. Branch instructions contain an address to reference the instruction to be executed next. Data reference instructions contain the address of the byte or word of data referenced. Somehow, the processor hardware and operating system software must be able to translate the memory references found in the code of the program into actual physical memory addresses, reflecting the current location of the program in main memory.



Normally, a user process cannot access any portion of the operating system, neither program nor data.

Usually a program in one process cannot branch to an instruction in another process or access the data area of another process. The processor must be able to abort such instructions at the point of execution.

Note that the memory protection requirement must be satisfied by the processor (hardware) rather than the operating system (software) because the OS cannot anticipate all of the memory references that a program will make. It is only possible to assess the permissibility of a memory reference at the time of execution.

Consider asking the students "why" to point 1 & 2.

Why is it a Bad Thing for one process to be able to read, or even write, to memory occupied by a different process?

Why is it impossible to check absolute addresses at compile time (hint: see relocation)



Any protection mechanism must have the flexibility to allow several processes to access the same portion of main memory.

Processes that are cooperating on some task may need to share access to the same data structure.



Main memory is usually organized as a linear, or one-dimensional, address space, consisting of a sequence of bytes or words.

Secondary memory, at its physical level, is similarly organized.

This does not correspond to the way in which programs are typically constructed. Most programs are organized into modules. If the operating system and computer hardware can effectively deal with user programs and data in the form of modules of some sort, then a number of advantages can be realized



Because of this, it is clear that the task of moving information between the two levels of memory should be a system responsibility. This task is the essence of memory management.





Don't dwell on this slide – it is just an indication on the various approaches which will be covered in further detail in other slides













Finish by mentioning tat fixed partitioning is almost unknown today





## Animated slide

Imagine a system with 64M RAM

- 1. Initially, main memory is empty, except for the operating system
- 2. Three processes are loaded in leaving a 'hole' too small for any further process
- 3. At some point, none of the processes in memory is ready. The operating system swaps out process 2,
- 4. Which leaves sufficient room to load a new process, process 4 but that creates another hole
- 5. Later, a point is reached at which none of the processes in main memory is ready, but process 2, in the Ready-Suspend state, is available. Because there is insufficient room in memory for process 2, the operating system swaps process 1 out and swaps process 2 back in leaving yet another hole
- 6. Explain External Fragmentation and compaction mention that compaction implies the capability of dynamic relocation









Slide shows Fig 7.5 - an example memory configuration after a number of placement and swapping-out operations.

•The last block that was used was a 22-Mbyte block from which a 14-Mbyte partition was created.

•Figure 7.5b shows the difference between the best, first, and next-fit placement algorithms in satisfying a 16-Mbyte allocation request.

•Best-fit will search the entire list of available blocks and make use of the 18-Mbyte block, leaving a 2-Mbyte fragment.

- •First-fit results in a 6-Mbyte fragment, and
- •Next-fit results in a 20-Mbyte fragment.



In a fixed partitioning scheme limits the number of active processes and may use space inefficiently if there is a poor match between available partition sizes and process sizes.

A dynamic partitioning scheme is more complex to maintain and includes the overhead of compaction.

An interesting compromise is the buddy system.

1 Mbyte block	Example of Buddy System					
Request 100 K	A = 128K 128K	256K	512K			
Request 240 K	A = 128K 128K	B = 256K	512K			
Request 64 K	A = 128K C = 64K 64K	B = 256K	512K			
Request 256 K	A = 128K C = 64K 64K	B = 256K	D = 256K	256K		
Release B	A = 128K C = 64K 64K	256K	D = 256K	256K		
Release A	128K C = 64K 64K	256K	D = 256K	256K		
Request 75 K	Е = 128К с = 64К 64К	256K	D = 256K	256K		
Release C	E = 128K 128K	256K	D = 256K	256K		
Release E	512K		D = 256K	256K		
Release D	1M					
	Figure 7.6	Example of Buddy	y System			

Figure 7.6 gives an example using a 1-Mbyte initial block.

The first request, A, is for 100 Kbytes, for which a 128K block is needed.

•The initial block is divided into two 512K buddies.

•The first of these is divided into two 256K buddies,

•and the first of these is divided into two 128K buddies,

• one of which is allocated to A.

•The next request, B, requires a 256K block. Such a block is already available and is allocated.

•The process continues with splitting and coalescing occurring as needed.

•Note that when E is released, two 128K buddies are coalesced into a 256K block, which is immediately coalesced with its buddy



Figure 7.7 shows a binary tree representation of the buddy allocation immediately after the Release B request.

The leaf nodes represent the current partitioning the memory.

If two buddies are leaf nodes, then at least one must be allocated;

otherwise they would be coalesced into a larger block.

•The buddy system is a reasonable compromise to overcome the disadvantages of both the fixed and variable partitioning schemes,

• But in contemporary operating systems, virtual memory based on paging and segmentation is superior.

•However, the buddy system has found application in parallel systems as an efficient means of allocation and release for parallel programs. A modified form of the buddy system is used for UNIX kernel memory allocation (described in Chapter 8).





A translation must be made from both Logical and Relative addresses to arrive at the Absolute address











Processes and Frames								
Frame	Main memory							
number <sub>0</sub>	A.0							
1	A.1							
2	A.2							
3	A.3							
4	D.0							
5	D.1							
6	D.2							
7	C.0							
8	C.1							
9	C.2							
10	C.3							
11	D.3							
12	D.4							
<b>F</b> 13		ta						
14								

## Animated slide

- 1. System with a number of frames allocated
- 2. Process A, stored on disk, consists of four pages. When it comes time to load this process, the operating system finds four free frames and loads the four pages of process A into the four frames.
- 3. Process B, consisting of three pages, and process C, consisting of four pages, are subsequently loaded.
- 4. Then process B is suspended and is swapped out of main memory.
- Later, all of the processes in main memory are blocked, and the operating system needs to bring in a new process, process D, which consists of five pages. The Operating System loads the pages into the available frames and updates the *page table*





The difference with dynamic partitioning, is that with segmentation a program may occupy more than one partition, and these partitions need not be contiguous.

Segmentation eliminates internal fragmentation but suffers from external fragmentation (as does dynamic partitioning)

However, because a process is broken up into a number of smaller pieces, the external fragmentation should be less.

A consequence of unequal-size segments is that there is no simple relationship between logical addresses and physical addresses.

Analogous to paging, a simple segmentation scheme would make use of a segment table for each process and a list of free blocks of main memory. Each segment table entry would have to give

- the starting address in main memory of the corresponding segment.
- •the length of the segment, to assure that invalid addresses are not used.

When a process enters the Running state, the address of its segment table is loaded into a special register used by the memory management hardware.



In this example, 16-bit addresses are used, and the page size is 1K =1024 bytes. The relative address 1502, in binary form, is 0000010111011110. With a page size of 1K, an offset field of 10 bits is needed, leaving 6 bits for the page number. Thus a program can consist of a maximum of  $2^6$ =64 pages of 1K bytes each.

As Figure 7.11b shows, relative address 1502 corresponds to •an offset of 478 (0111011110) on page 1 (000001), •which yields the same 16-bit number, 000001011101110.

Consider an address of n + m bits, where the leftmost n bits are the segment number and the rightmost m bits are the offset.

In the example on the slide

•n = 4 and

•m =12.

Thus the maximum segment size is  $2^{12} = 4096$ .

The following steps are needed for address translation:

- Extract the segment number as the leftmost n bits of the logical address.
- Use the segment number as an index into the process segment table to find the starting physical address of the segment.

Compare the offset, expressed in the rightmost m bits, to the length of the segment. If the offset is greater than or equal to the length, the address is invalid.

The desired physical address is the sum of the starting physical address of the segment plus the offset.



In our example, we have the logical address 0000010111011110, which is page number 1, offset 478.

Suppose that this page is residing in main memory frame 6 = binary 000110.

Then the physical address is frame number 6, offset 478 = 0001100111011110



In our example, we have the logical address 0001001011110000, which is segment number 1, offset 752.

Suppose that this segment is residing in main memory starting at physical address 001000000100000.